


**Sir Thomas Roddick Hospital Ambulatory Care
Client Experience Survey Results
2022-2023**



**NL Health
Services**

Prepared by:

**Tracey Wells-Stratton and Deidre Pinsent
Planning and Performance
Quality and Learning Health Systems**

In collaboration with:
Rural Health and Patient Services
NL Health Services – Western Zone

Background

Survey Instrument

The validated ambulatory care experience survey that was administered throughout the Western Zone of Newfoundland and Labrador Health Services (formerly Western Health) was based on the approved Accreditation Canada Client Experience Survey based on the Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HCAHPS) survey utilized during previous surveys, which was modified to meet the needs of the Western Zone in collaboration with stakeholders in ambulatory care. Modifications were made based on the experiences administering previous versions of the survey, and comparison with the more recent 2021 HCAHPS survey tool, as well as the CAHPS Clinician and Group Survey (CG-CHAPS) and the Canadian Patient Experiences Survey – (CPES-IC) developed by Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). The survey also had the addition of standard questions regarding virtual care experience, which were developed with internal stakeholders (see Appendix A).

Method

The survey was available to complete electronically through the Alchemer survey platform or by paper from October 17th to December 11th, 2022. Staff promoted the survey to all patients who received ambulatory care services across the region by providing an information letter. The letter contained information about the survey as well as instructions on how to complete the survey electronically via a website link and QR code. Patients were also given the option to complete a paper version of the survey if they preferred. Based on low overall survey response rates, telephone surveys were conducted from January 20th to March 3rd, 2023. In an attempt to increase the response rate, a random sample of patients who received an identified ambulatory care service during the survey period were called and asked to participate in the survey over the phone. While the electronic survey remained available until March 3rd, 2023, the survey was not promoted by ambulatory care staff during this time.

Participants

The survey was promoted to all patients who received ambulatory care services including: blood collection, diabetes services, diagnostic/medical imaging, dialysis, EEG, EKG, endoscopy, fracture clinic, medical day care, orthopedic central intake, outpatient physiotherapy, outpatient treatment, urology clinic, vascular testing and wound care.

These services are located across the region at the following facilities: Bonne Bay Health Centre (BBHC) in Norris Point, Calder Health Centre (CHC) in Burgeo, Deer Lake Medical Clinic (DLMC) in Deer Lake, Dr. Charles LeGrow Health Centre (LHC) in Port aux Basques, Rufus Guinchard Health Centre (RGHC) in Port Saunders, Sir Thomas Roddick Hospital (STRH) in Stephenville, Western Memorial Health Clinic (WMHC) and Western Memorial Regional Hospital (WMRH) in Corner Brook.

Sample

Surveys were completed by 912 participants regionally. Of these participants, 180 were from STRH. Based on patient volume for this facility, this sample size provides a confidence level of 95% that the real values are within $\pm 7.21\%$ of the surveyed values.

Privacy, Confidentiality, and Data Security

Privacy and confidentiality were achieved as patients voluntarily and anonymously completed the survey. The majority completed the survey online. Patients who completed the survey on paper or over the telephone did so with no identifying information on the envelope or within the survey to identify the individual. Any information that could potentially identify the patient was removed to maintain anonymity. The data was stored in the Alchemer platform and on password protected computers. Following online entry, paper surveys were destroyed using the approved Western Health grey bins.

Data Analysis

Survey data was entered directly into Alchemer by the participant or telephone interviewer and transcribed from paper copies by the survey administrators. Reports were developed and analyzed in this survey platform. Descriptive statistics were calculated on regional data to obtain a general perspective of patient experiences with ambulatory care services at Western Health. Statistics were also calculated for Corner Brook (WMHC and WMRH), the rural health facilities (BBHC, CHC, DLMC, LHC & RGHC), and STRH, as well as the individual programs, to assist in quality improvement initiatives and planning at a site and program level. The following report provides a summary of survey results for STRH.

Results

Demographics

A total of 180 surveys were completed by patients who received ambulatory care from STRH. Participants were asked to indicate specifically which program they received services from, and blood collection had the largest group of clients (60%). The number of respondents from each program is displayed in Table 1.

Table 1. Frequency and Percentage of Participants by Program

Program	Percent (%)	Frequency
Blood Collection	60.0	108
Diabetes Services	2.8	5
Diagnostic/Medical Imaging	21.1	38
Dialysis	10.6	19
EKG	7.2	13
Fracture Clinic	1.7	3
Medical Day Care/Outpatient Treatment	12.8	23
Orthopedic Central Intake Clinic	0.6	1
Outpatient Physiotherapy	3.3	6
Urology Clinic	2.8	5
Wound Care	0.6	1

Other – Write In	8.3	15
Total	100	180

To gain a more thorough understanding of the demographics and health status of the survey participants, they were asked to report their gender, cultural or racial background, age group (see Table 2), and their highest grade or level of school completed (see Table 3). They were also asked to rate their overall health and overall mental or emotional health.

The majority of participants identified as female (55.1%) and 44.9% identified as male. Participants included representation across all educational backgrounds and most age groups, with the majority of the participants being in the 65-74 age group (33.9%) and the most common education level being a college or other non-university certificate or diploma (26.7%). Participants were asked to indicate all of their cultural or racial backgrounds. The majority (75.6%) reported White, 52.3% reported Aboriginal/Indigenous, 1.2% reported Black, and less than 1% reported Latin American, Latino and Hispanic.

When asked to rate their overall health, 6.3% reported excellent, 33% reported very good, 33% reported good, 17% reported fair, and 10.8% reported poor. When asked about their overall mental or emotional health, 19.7% of participants reported excellent, 37.1% reported very good, 30.3% reported good, 10.1% reported fair and 2.8% reported poor.

Table 2. Frequency and Percentage of Participants by Age Group

Age Group	Percent (%)	Frequency
0-15	3.4	6
16-24	0	0
25-34	2.3	4
35-44	7.3	13
45-54	6.2	11
55-64	25.4	45
65-74	33.9	60

Age Group	Percent (%)	Frequency
75-84	18.1	32
85+	3.4	6

Table 3. Frequency and Percentage of Participants by Education Level

Education Level	Percent (%)	Frequency
8 th grade or less	12.5	22
Some high school, but did not graduate	16.5	29
High school graduate or GED	23.9	42
College or other non-university certificate or diploma	26.7	47
Undergraduate degree or some university	13.6	24
Post-graduate degree or professional designation	6.8	12

Health Care Providers

Participants were asked about their interaction with health care providers (HCPs) from their ambulatory care visit (see Table 4). The majority of participants reported that HCPs always or usually treated them with courtesy and respect (96.7%), listened carefully to them (90.5%), explained things in a way they could understand (90.5%), and participants felt they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (87.1%). When asked if the HCP had the information they needed about them during their visit, 100% of respondents indicated yes definitely or yes somewhat.

Table 4. Health Care Providers

Question	Never % (N)	Sometimes % (N)	Usually % (N)	Always % (N)
How often did HCPs treat you with courtesy and respect?	0 (0)	3.4 (6)	19.0 (34)	77.7 (139)
How often did HCPs listen carefully to you?	1.1 (2)	8.4 (15)	22.3 (40)	68.2 (122)
How often did HCPs explain things in a way you could understand?	0.6 (1)	8.9 (16)	22.9 (41)	67.6 (121)

Question	Never % (N)	Sometimes % (N)	Usually % (N)	Always % (N)
How often did you feel involved as much as you wanted to be in decisions about your care and treatment?	0 (0)	12.9 (23)	23.6 (42)	63.5 (113)

Wait Time and Facility Environment

Survey participants were asked about the wait time and facility environment during their ambulatory care visit (see Table 5 and Table 6). The majority of participants indicated they were not told how long they would have to wait to be seen (57.1%), that the surroundings were clean (95.3%), the bathroom was clean (88.9%), and that they had enough privacy (94.3%).

Table 5. Wait Time

Question	Yes, but the wait was shorter % (N)	Yes, and I had to wait as long as I was told % (N)	Yes, but the wait was longer % (N)	No, I was not told % (N)
Were you told how long you would have to wait to be seen?	28.8 (47)	8.0 (13)	6.1 (10)	57.1 (93)

Table 6. Facility Environment

Question	Yes % (N)	No % (N)
Were the surroundings clean?	95.3 (163)	4.7 (8)
Was the bathroom clean?	88.9 (96)	11.1 (12)
Did you feel you had enough privacy?	94.3 (165)	5.7 (10)

Virtual Care Experience

Virtual care is defined as any health care appointment which was conducted by telephone (landline or cell phone) or video (on a computer/laptop, Telehealth unit, or mobile device

such as iPad/tablet). Participants were asked if they had accessed any services through virtual care during their most recent ambulatory care (outpatient) experience and 17.4% reported yes. Those who reported utilizing virtual care were asked additional questions to further understand their experience with virtual care. When asked what type of virtual care they received, the majority (87.5%) reported telephone and 12.5% reported video (computer/laptop, Telehealth unit, or mobile device such as an iPad/tablet). Participants were asked to indicate all of the reasons why they accessed virtual care, 28.6% reported that the service was not available in-person at their facility, 28.6% reported that the service was available more quickly from another facility/site, 14.3% reported that they preferred to receive service from a provider at another facility/site, 14.3% reported they preferred not to travel, and 14.3% reported they were unable to travel.

Participants were also asked to provide feedback regarding their virtual care appointment (see Table 7). 50% of the participants strongly agreed that they received the support that they needed to connect and participate in the virtual care appointment, and 62.5% strongly or somewhat agreed that the appointment was effective in meeting their health care needs.

Table 8. Virtual Care Support and Effectiveness

Statement	Strongly disagree % (N)	Somewhat disagree % (N)	Neither agree nor disagree % (N)	Somewhat agree % (N)	Strongly agree % (N)
I received the support that I needed to connect and participate in the virtual care appointment/ service	25.0 (2)	0 (0)	25.0 (2)	0 (0)	50.0 (4)
The virtual care appointment/ service was effective in meeting my health care needs	25.0 (2)	0 (0)	12.5 (1)	12.5 (1)	50.0 (4)

Discharge

The survey asked participants about support, getting information, and receiving contact information as part of their discharge (Table 8). The majority report being talked to about whether they had the help they needed when they left (64.3%) and that HCPs told them who to contact if they had a problem after they left the facility (65.3%). It is important to note that while 45.6% of participants indicated they were verbally told the information about what symptoms or health problems to look out for after they left the facility, an additional 23.3% indicated they were given this information in writing. Participants were asked if they had received enough information from their HCP about what to do if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they had left the facility. The majority of participants (50.3%) indicated that they had received not at all enough information from their HCP, 34.3% reported completely enough or quite a bit of the information needed, and 15.4% reported that they received partly enough information.

Table 8. Discharge Planning

Question	Yes % (N)	No % (N)
Did HCPs talk with you about whether you would have the help you needed when you left?	64.3 (54)	35.7 (30)
Did you get information about what symptoms or health problems to look out for after you left the facility?	68.9 (62)	31.1 (28)
Did HCPs let you know who to contact if you had a problem after you left the facility?	65.3 (98)	34.7 (52)


Overall Experience of Care

Participants were asked to rate their ambulatory care experience on a scale of 0 to 10 with 0 being the worst care possible and 10 being the best care possible. On average, patients ranked their experience at 8.7. Participants were also asked whether they would recommend the service to friends and family 76.4% reported definitely yes, 15.7% reported probably yes, 5.1% reported probably no, and 2.8% reported definitely no.

Overall Comments

Survey participants were given the opportunity to comment on their overall experience and 171 participants provided additional comments (see Figure 1). Participants provided comments related to one or more themes. The most common theme in the responses was concern with general healthcare access and staffing across all health services, rather than specific to ambulatory care. This was followed by common themes pertaining to positive communication and dynamics, high quality care, and long wait times for some services.

Figure 1: Participant Comment Themes (% of all comments)



Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

Overall results indicate that STRH’s ambulatory care services have many strengths, such as the care received from health care providers, including their courtesy, respect and active listening, supporting client privacy, and ensuring that they have the necessary medical information about clients during their visit. Another strength identified was the cleanliness of facility surroundings and bathrooms.

Opportunities for improvement were identified for those questions that had less than 80% of participants reporting a positive response (i.e., always and usually combined or yes).

Regionally, opportunities for improvement include:

1. Explore opportunities and methods to better communicate wait times for clients.
2. Ensure discharge planning is discussed with all clients and that the appropriate supports are in place for when they return home.
3. Explore opportunities and methods to ensure all clients are given adequate information about what symptoms or health problems to look out for after their visit.
4. Facilitate ways to provide clients with the appropriate contact information in the event they have concerns after their visit.
5. Explore opportunities to provide or advocate for additional supports for clients connecting to services via virtual care to ensure client needs are met.

Appendix A

Ambulatory Care Client Experience Survey